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#### Abstract

C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right], \quad \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}-\) $\mathrm{N}^{+} . \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{NPt}^{-}$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c, a=12.154$ (2), $b=7.940$ (2), $c=20.324$ (4) $\AA, \beta=115.28$ (1) ${ }^{\circ}, V=$ 1773.7 (6) $\AA^{3}, D_{c}=1.88, D_{o}=1.89$ (2) $\mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$. The structure was determined by Patterson and electron density difference methods and refined by full-matrix least squares to $R=0.049, R_{w}=0.055$ for all 3721 reflections. The structure comprises discrete cations and anions, hydrogen bonded together in double layers. Bond distances and angles around platinum are normal.


Introduction. We have made a number of unsuccessful attempts to synthesize suitable crystals of cis-dichlorobis(cyclohexylamine)platinum(II) for an X-ray structure determination, particularly since we have shown that the reported structure of this compound (Iball \& Scrimgeour, 1977) is an incorrect solution of the structure of the corresponding trans isomer (Zanotti, Del Pra, Bombieri \& Tamburro, 1978; Lock, Speranzini \& Zvagulis, 1980). So far we have had no success, although we have examined the structure of the compound solvated with hexamethylphosphoramide (Lock et al., 1980). One of the compounds we isolated during these attempts was the salt cyclohexylammonium trichloro(cyclohexylamine)platinate(II) and we describe the structure of this compound herein. The compound was prepared by reacting cyclohexylamine ( $0.44 \mathrm{~g}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise with an aqueous solution ( 20 ml ) of potassium tetrachloroplatinate(II) $(0.909 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$. After a week a light-brown precipitate had formed and was removed by filtration. The residual yellow solution after slow evaporation for one month yielded yellow crystals of cyclohexylammonium trichloro(cyclohexylamine)platinate(II): yield $\sim 3.5 \mathrm{mg}$; calculated: $\mathrm{C}, 28.8 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5•4; N, $5 \cdot 6 \%$; found: C, $28 \cdot 5$; H, 5•5; N, $5 \cdot 6 \%$.

A pale-yellow crystal, homogeneous under the polarizing microscope, was ground to a cylinder, radius 0.1 mm , length 0.6 mm . The crystal symmetry was obtained from precession photographs and the unit cell was determined by a least-squares fit of 15 well centred reflections ( $19^{\circ}<2 \theta<26^{\circ}$ ) at 295 K on a Syntex $P 2_{1}$ diffractometer. The density of the crystal was deter-
mined by flotation in a bromoform-chloroform mixture yielding $Z=4$. The intensities of 4082 independent reflections up to $2 \theta=55^{\circ}$ were measured with Mo $K a$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.71069 \AA$ ) using a crystal monochromator. The intensities were measured in the $2 \theta$ (counter)- $\theta$ (crystal) scan mode. After removal of reflections with $I<0$ (361), 2703 reflections were considered observed $[I>3 \sigma(I)]$ and were used for the structure determination; 1018 were considered unobserved $[3 \sigma(I)>I>0]$ and were given no weight in the structure determination unless $\left|F_{c}\right|>\left|F_{o}\right|$ (442). The method of data treatment has been described previously (Hughes, Krishnamachari, Lock, Powell \& Turner, 1977; Lippert, Lock, Rosenberg \& Zvagulis, 1977). Corrections were made for absorption ( $\mu=8.75$ $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$, range of $A^{*}, 4 \cdot 01-4 \cdot 31$ ), Lorentz-polarization and extinction (Larson, 1967, $g=6.670 \times 10^{-8}$ ). The stability of the experimental system was monitored by measuring two standard reflections after every 48 reflections ( 015,130 ). They showed e.s.d.'s of $2 \cdot 30$, $2 \cdot 11 \%$ respectively with no time variation.
The Pt atom was found from a three-dimensional Patterson synthesis. Subsequent refinement and a

Table 1. Final atomic positional parameters and temperature factors $\left(U_{\mathrm{eq}}, \AA^{2}\right)\left(\times 10^{3}\right)$

|  | $U_{\text {eq. }}=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{3}}\left(U_{11}+U_{22}+U_{33}+2 U_{13} \cos \beta\right)$. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq. }}$ |
| Pt | 87.44 (2) | 292.88 (4) | 179.70 (2) | 40.5 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | -12.4 (2) | 46.6 (3) | 128.8 (1) | 58 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 97.4 (3) | 361.0 (4) | 71.9 (1) | 69 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | 177.4 (2) | 542.9 (3) | 232.1 (1) | 53 (1) |
| N(1) | 80.5 (7) | 234.9 (9) | 276.5 (4) | 44 (4) |
| C(1) | 197.2 (9) | 159 (1) | 334.6 (5) | 51 (6) |
| C(2) | 178 (1) | 132 (2) | $403 \cdot 3$ (5) | 66 (8) |
| C(3) | 297 (1) | 58 (2) | $464 \cdot 2$ (6) | 93 (12) |
| C(4) | 329 (1) | -103 (2) | 437.2 (8) | 95 (11) |
| C(5) | 348 (1) | -72 (2) | 369.1 (7) | 94 (12) |
| C(6) | 231 (1) | 1 (2) | 308.5 (6) | 82 (9) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 a)$ | 867.0 (9) | 231 (1) | 923.9 (6) | 74 (8) |
| C(1a) | 733 (1) | 180 (2) | 904.4 (8) | 87 (11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 a)$ | 733 (1) | 25 (2) | 944 (1) | 136 (17) |
| C(3a) | 601 (2) | -20 (4) | 926 (1) | 232 (34) |
| C(4a) | 518 (2) | -12 (3) | 856 (1) | 154 (22) |
| C(5a) | 524 (1) | 137 (4) | 817 (1) | 166 (25) |
| C(6a) | 657 (2) | 188 (3) | 835 (1) | 204 (34) |

(C) 1981 International Union of Crystallography
difference map revealed all the non-hydrogen atoms. Anisotropic full-matrix least-squares refinement, minimizing $\sum w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$, was terminated at $R=$ $0.042(0.049), R_{w}=0.052(0.055)$ for the observed (all) reflections with a final maximum shift/error of 0.007 . The weighting scheme applied was $w=\left[\sigma_{F}^{2}+\right.$ $\left.\left(0.03 F_{o}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1} ; *$ the error in an observation of unit weight was 1.189 . Scatering factors were taken from Cromer \& Waber (1974) and corrections for anomalous dispersion were applied to Pt and Cl (Cromer, 1974). The final positional parameters are given in Table 1. $\dagger$ All calculations were carried out on CDC 6400 or CYBER 170/730 computers. $\ddagger$

Discussion. The product obtained was not the expected cis- $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ but $\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{3}-\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ ] suggesting that the reaction conditions which have been used for the preparation of cis-diamine complexes of $\mathrm{Pt}^{11}$ (Kauffman \& Cowan, 1963) are too acid. Kong \& Rochon $(1975,1978)$ have produced salts containing similar $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{3}(\text { amine })\right]^{-}$ anions in dimethylformamide but as the potassium salts; the protonated amine was not formed.

Selected interatomic distances and angles are given in Table 2, and the anion is illustrated in Fig. 1. The anion has the expected square-planar configuration about Pt with minor deviations from the plane (Table 3). On the basis of the trans influence (Appleton, Clark \& Manzer, 1973) $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ should be shorter than $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$, although the differences should be small. The trans influence does not seem to hold, however, since $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ is significantly longer than $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ [although not than $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ ]. There is a correspondence, however, between the number of strong hydrogen bonds to the Cl atoms, and the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances. They are within the range normally observed (Lock et al., 1980, and references therein). Similarly the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N}$ distance agrees with values we have found previously (Lock et al., 1980).

The torsional angles (Table 3) in the rings are very similar to those observed previously (Zanotti et al., 1978; Lock et al., 1980) but the torsional angle involving Pt and distances of $\mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{C}(2), \mathrm{C}(6)$ from the

[^0]Table 2. Interatomic distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $2.301(3)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.058(9)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $2.310(3)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.53(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $2.297(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1 a)-\mathrm{C}(1 a)$ | $1.56(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.52(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1 a)-\mathrm{C}(2 a)$ | $1.47(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.56(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2 a)-\mathrm{C}(3 a)$ | $1.53(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.51(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3 a)-\mathrm{C}(4 a)$ | $1.35(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.52(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4 a)-\mathrm{C}(5 a)$ | $1.45(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.54(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5 a)-\mathrm{C}(6 a)$ | $1.55(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.48(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6 a)-\mathrm{C}(1 a)$ | $1.31(2)$ |

Possible hydrogen bonds

| $\mathrm{Cl}(1) \cdots \mathrm{N}(1)^{b}$ | $3 \cdot 447(9)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(2) \cdots \mathrm{N}(1 a)^{d}$ | $3 \cdot 26(1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1) \cdots \mathrm{N}(1 a)^{c}$ | $3 \cdot 28(1)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(2) \cdots \mathrm{N}(1 a)^{e}$ | $3 \cdot 280(9)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}(3) \cdots \mathrm{N}(1)^{f}$ | $3 \cdot 422(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $90 \cdot 2(1)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $179 \cdot 1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $176.6(1)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(3)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $87 \cdot 4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $90.6(2)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $115 \cdot 1(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $91 \cdot 7(1)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $108(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1 a)-\mathrm{C}(1 a)-\mathrm{C}(2 a)$ | $109(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $111 \cdot 3(7)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1 a)-\mathrm{C}(1 a)-\mathrm{C}(6 a)$ | $116(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $113(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6 a)-\mathrm{C}(1 a a)-\mathrm{C}(2 a)$ | $117(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $109(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1 a)-\mathrm{C}(2 a)-\mathrm{C}(3 a)$ | $108(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $109(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2 a)-\mathrm{C}(3 a)-\mathrm{C}(4 a)$ | $118(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $111(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3 a)-\mathrm{C}(4 a)-\mathrm{C}(5 a)$ | $115(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $110(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4 a)-\mathrm{C}(5 a)-\mathrm{C}(6 a)$ | $112(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $109.4(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5 a)-\mathrm{C}(6 a)-\mathrm{C}(1 a)$ | $116(2)$ |

Atoms with superscripts $b-f$ are related to those in Table 1 by (b) $-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-z ;(c) 1-x,-y, 1-z$ (d) $1-x, 1-y, 1-z$; (e) $x-1, y, z-1$; (f) $-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z$.


Fig. 1. The molecular anion trichloro(cyclohexylamine)platinate(II).
square plane show that the arrangement of the ring is closer to that in cis- $-\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2} .2\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$. In this arrangement $\mathrm{C}(6)$ is above the square plane only 3.38 (2) $\AA$ from the Pt atom and 3.61 (2) $\AA$ from $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$. Thus the C atom and the attached H atoms will be covering the axial position above Pt from attack. In this case, with three Cl atoms attached to Pt , there are no other attached bulky groups which can force this conformation by intramolecular interaction. Rosenberg (1976) suggested that the low toxicity of cis$\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ may arise from this protection preventing coordination to S atoms in the kidney tubules. It is dangerous, for these molecules with a certain amount of flexibility, to extrapolate from the

Table 3. Least-squares planes and torsional angles

| Plane | Distance from plane in $\AA$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1) \mathrm{Cl}(2) \mathrm{Cl}(3) \mathrm{N}(1)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cl}(1), 0.030(3) ; \mathrm{Cl}(2),-0.028(4) ; \\ & \mathrm{Cl}(3), 0.031(3) ; \mathrm{Pt},-0.026(1) ; \\ & \mathrm{C}(1),-1.41(1) ; \mathrm{C}(2),-1.22(1) ; \\ & \mathrm{C}(6),-2.35(1) ; \mathrm{N}(1),-0.033(9) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Torsion angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1) \mathrm{PtN}(1) \mathrm{C}(1)$ | 99.1 (2) | $\mathrm{Cl}(3) \mathrm{PtN}(1) \mathrm{C}(1)$ | -83.7 (2) |
| $\mathrm{PtN}(1) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(2)$ | 177 (1) | $\mathrm{PtN}(1) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(6)$ | -59 (1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(3)$ | -179(1) | $\mathrm{N}(1 a) \mathrm{C}(1 a) \mathrm{C}(2 a) \mathrm{C}(3 a)$ | 178 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{C}(4)$ | -57(1) | $\mathrm{C}(1 a) \mathrm{C}(2 a) \mathrm{C}(3 a) \mathrm{C}(4 a)$ | 46 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{C}(5)$ | 60 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(2 a) \mathrm{C}(3 a) \mathrm{C}(4 a) \mathrm{C}(5 a)$ | -45 (2) |
| C(3)C(4)C(5)C(6) | -60 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(3 a) \mathrm{C}(4 a) \mathrm{C}(5 a) \mathrm{C}(6 a)$ | 41 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{C}(1)$ | 58 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(4 a) \mathrm{C}(5 a) \mathrm{C}(6 a) \mathrm{C}(1 a)$ | -43 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(2)$ | -58 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(5 a) \mathrm{C}(6 a) \mathrm{C}(1 a) \mathrm{C}(2 a)$ | 49 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(3)$ | 58 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(6 a) \mathrm{C}(1 a) \mathrm{C}(2 a) \mathrm{C}(3 a)$ | -47 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{C}(5)$ | -179(1) | $\mathrm{N}(1 a) \mathrm{C}(1 a) \mathrm{C}(6 a) \mathrm{C}(5 a)$ | -180 (1) |

solid state to solution conditions, but we think it is interesting that in the three $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ compounds for which structures have been determined (Zanotti et al., 1978; Lock et al., 1980; this work) the same effect is found.

The cation shows very high anisotropic temperature factors but there is no evidence of conformational disorder. A careful examination of the difference map shows the highest residual peak near the cation is $0.7 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ between $\mathrm{N}(1 A)$ and $\mathrm{C}(1 A)$. The thermal ellipsoids are all oriented roughly in the same direction and probably correspond to the motion of the ring like a leaf flapping in the wind. Consideration of the packing (see below) shows that the intermolecular forces between cations are very weak.

The packing of the ions in the unit cell is shown in Fig. 2. The anions are arranged such that they form a double layer with the ligand square planes close to the $b c$ plane and the cyclohexyl rings on the outside of the double layer. Double layers related by the a translation are separated by a zigzag layer of cations centred at $x$ $=\frac{1}{2}$. Within the double layer along the $\mathbf{b}$ direction there are spiral chains of molecules related by the $2_{1}$ axis, and bound to adjacent molecules by rather weak $\mathrm{N}(1) \cdots \mathrm{Cl}(1)$ and $\mathrm{N}(1) \cdots \mathrm{Cl}(3)$ hydrogen bonds


Fig. 2. The packing of the cations and anions in the unit cell. $\mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{*}$ are parallel to the bottom and side of the page respectively and the view is down b. Hydrogen bonds are represented by dotted lines.
[ 3.44 (1), 3.42 (2) $\AA$ ]. There are no direct hydrogen bonds between these chains along the $\mathbf{c}$ direction, but hydrogen bonding to the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ group of the cation holds adjacent chains together with strong hydrogen bonds $\mathrm{N}(1 a)^{c} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}(1), \quad \mathrm{N}(1 a)^{d} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}(2), \quad \mathrm{N}(1 a)^{e} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}(2)$ [ 3.28 (1), 3.26 (1), $3 \cdot 28$ (1) $\AA$ ]. This hydrogen bonding of the cation to the double layer means the cyclohexyl ring of the cation is also pointing out of the double layer. Thus any contacts near $x=\frac{1}{2}$ are $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ contacts and the forces are van der Waals.
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[^0]:    *The value of 0.03 was chosen to make $\left\langle w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}\right\rangle$ locally independent of $\left|F_{o}\right|$ and $\sin \theta / \lambda$.
    $\dagger$ Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 35903 ( 19 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CHI 2HU, England.
    $\ddagger$ Most programs for initial data treatment were from the XRAY package (Stewart, 1976). The structure was solved using SHELX (Sheldrick, 1976). Final refinements and difference syntheses used the internally written Fourier and full-matrix least-squares programs SYMFOU and CUDLS written by J. S. Rutherford and J. S. Stephens respectively. The planes and torsional angles were calculated using $N R C$ - 22 (Pippy \& Ahmed, 1978). The diagrams were prepared using ORTEP II (Johnson, 1976).

